



Eutrophication – at the surface





Sources: NOAA (satellite image) and HELCOM (2009)

Eutrophication – below the surface







Sources: Sehested Hansen et al. (2007) and HELCOM (2009)







Eutrophication in the Baltic Sea:

Status assessment, confidence assessment and perspectives

Jesper H. Andersen, DHI

HELCOM EUTRO-PRO project manager

4th HELCOM Stakeholder Conference, 3 Mach 2009, Helsinki, Finland

The Baltic Sea Action Plan 2007





HELCOM (2007) and HELCOM (2009)

HELCOM EUTRO and HELCOM EUTRO-PRO

- Linked to HELCOM BSAP and HELCOM's Monitoring and Assessment Strategy
- An important component of the Adaptive Management Cycle
- The work has been funded by:
 - HELCOM
 - Danish Environmental Protection Agency
 - Danish Spatial and Environmental Planning Agency
 - Nordic Council of Ministers
 - DHI Water Environment Health



Danish Environmental Protection Agency Danish Ministry of the Environment



The following persons have contributed to the HELCOM EUTRO and HELCOM EUTRO-PRO activities:

 Juris Aigars, Philip Axe, Mats Blomqvist, Uwe Brockmann, Jacob Carstensen, Uli Claussen, David Connor, Jan Ekebom, Anders Erichsen, Peter Henriksen, Bertil Håkansson, Vivi Fleming-Lehtinen, Jens Brøgger Jensen, Marko Järvinen, Hermanni Kaartokallio, Henning Karup, Samuli Korpinen, Aiste Kubiliute, Pirjo Kuuppo, Maria Laamanen, Ela Łysiak-Pastuszak, Juha-Markku Leppänen, Georg Martin, Ciarán Murray, Flemming Møhlenberg, Bärbel Müller-Karulis, Samuli Neuvonen, Tonny Niilonen, Alf Norkko, Günther Nausch, Heikki Pitkänen, Johnny Reker, Tuija Ruoho-Airola, Roger Sedin, Camilla Trolle, Anna Villnäs, Norbert Wasmund, Fred Wulff & Gunni Ærtebjerg





What are we talking about?

... a few words about: Definitions, causes, direct signals, and indirect signals



Eutrophication (noun): An increase in the supply of organic matter (Nixon, 1995)

Causes:

– nutrient enrichment (N, P, organic matter)

Direct effects:

accelerated growth of algae and macrophytes

Indirect effects:

 undesirable disturbance of ecosystems (composition of flora & fauna, death of fish and other species by oxygen depletion & toxins)

Eutrophication processes





Eutrophication effects





Direct signals







Summer chlorophyll-a in the open Baltic Sea

Bothnian Bay Bothnian Sea Gulf of Finland Northern Baltic Proper Gulf of Riga Western Gotland Basin Eastern Gotland Basin SE Gotland Basin Bornholm Basin Arkona Basin Kattegat 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 EQR

Alleviation of eutrophication requires a balanced and strategic apparoach to control both nitrogen and phosphorus appropriately

HELCOM (2009) and Conley et al. (2009)

Indirect signals





Favourable conservation status of essential benthic hab itats is closely linked to reduction of nutrient loads









Causes



Table 5.1 Waterborne inputs of nitrogen and phosphorus to the Baltic Sea in 2006.

Area	TN	TN load/area	ТР	TP load/area
	t	t km⁻²	t	t km ⁻²
Gulf of Bothnia	109,069	0.94	4,612	0.04
Gulf of Finland	129,671	4.38	5,006	0.17
Gulf of Riga	58,417	3.58	2,659	0.16
Baltic Proper	227,838	1.03	12,875	0.06
Danish Straits	102,395	2.41	2,835	0.07
Total	627,390	-	27,987	-
	Area Gulf of Bothnia Gulf of Finland Gulf of Riga Baltic Proper Danish Straits Total	AreaTNLtGulf of Bothnia109,069Gulf of Finland129,671Gulf of Riga58,417Baltic Proper227,838Danish Straits102,395Total627,390	Area TN TN load/area t t t km ⁻² Gulf of Bothnia 109,069 0.94 Gulf of Finland 129,671 4.38 Gulf of Riga 58,417 3.58 Baltic Proper 227,838 1.03 Danish Straits 102,395 2.41 Total 627,390 -	Area TN TN load/area TP t t t km ⁻² t Gulf of Bothnia 109,069 0.94 4,612 Gulf of Finland 129,671 4.38 5,006 Gulf of Riga 58,417 3.58 2,659 Baltic Proper 227,838 1.03 12,875 Danish Straits 102,395 2.41 2,835 Total 627,390 - 27,987







Linking it all together

... from indicators to

indicator-based assessment and classification of eutrophication status

HELCOM's eutrophication assessment



- 1. Assess the eutrophication status in the whole Baltic Sea on the basis of a harmonized approach
- 2. The above is not trivial, since we have to link the HELCOM BSAP (open waters) and the EU WFD (coastal waters)



The HELCOM Eutrophication Assessment Tool



AL PACINO F

VAL KILMER

LOS ANGELES CRIME SAGA

ROBERT DE NIRO

The HEAT is on:

- Based on RefCon and definition of acceptable deviation (AcDev) and actual status (AcStat) sensu the WFD
- Results are expressed as a Ecological Quality Ratio (EQR = the ratio between RefCon and AcStat)
- Different AcDev's can be used, but 50%, 25% and Baltic WFD GIG derived values are normally used, the latter for coastal waters
- Quality Elements sensu the WFD
- The "One out All out" principle is used correctly sensu the WFD
- 5 classes (high, good, moderate, poor and bad) sensu the WFD
- Interim 'Confidence assessment' by scoring and weighting of RefCon, AcDev and AcStat

HEAT – an example, one out of 189



• Odense Fjord, the Danish case study for the WFD Pilot River Basin Management Plans



HEAT – final classifications (2001-2006)



• 189 areas:

- 17 open basins
- 172 coastal areas
- Unaffected by eutrophication (13):
 - 2 open basins
 - 11 coastal areas
- Affected by eutrophication (176):
 - 15 open basins
 - 161 coastal areas



HEAT - basin-wise summary of classifications







So far so good...

... but can we trust our Baltic Sea-wide classification of eutrophication status?

...let's check it out!

Principles for interim confidence assessment

- Originating from the NMR CONFIRM project
- Based on scoring of indicators
- RefCon, AcDev, and AcStat, are scored with respect to:
 - extraordinary quality
 - acceptable quality
 - poor quality

'indicator confidence'

- 'Indicator confidence' is combined per quality element
- Quality elements are combined into a interim 'confidence assessment':
 - Class I = 100-75% = extraordinary quality
 - Class II = 75-50% = acceptable quality
 - Class III = 50-0% = poor quality



norden

HEAT – interim confidence assessment (1/3)



Source: Andersen et al. (in prep.)

HEAT – interim confidence assessment (2/3)



189 areas:

- Class I (green):
 - 4 open basins11 coastal waters
- Class II (yellow):
 - 13 open basins
 - 117 coastal waters
- Class III (red):
 - 0 open basins
 - 43 coastal waters



HEAT – interim confidence assessment (3/3)





Source: HELCOM (2009)



YES WE CAN

... we can rely on our Baltic Sea-wide classification of eutrophication status

What is it good for?



- Most parts of the Baltic Sea are affected by eutrophication (2001-2006)
- The Baltic Sea Nutrient Management Strategy (BSAP) is based on Adaptive Management and includes both nitrogen and phosphorus:

Do we have a problem? ► Plan ► Act ► Check ► Evaluate

- Assessment(s) should always make use of an assessment tool (e.g. HEAT) and be accompanied by an assessment of 'confidence'
- **HELCOM** can use the results for:
 - The up-coming Holistic Assessment of the environmental status of the Baltic Sea
 - The planned revision of the eutrophication segment of the HELCOM Baltic Sea Action Plan
 - Development and publication of new indicator fact sheets (e.g. aquatic vegetation, benthic animals, HEAT, etc.)
- Other parties:
 - EU: Compliance checking of UWWTD, ND, WFD...

Links to the European UWWTD and ND...





UWWTD:

- An "eutrophication" directive
- Identification of "Sensitive Waters" (2 approaches)
- European Court of Justice Case C-280/02 (2004)
- Any links/common grounds between HELCOM results and the above ECJ case?

ND:

- An "eutrophication from nitrates" directive
- Identification of "Vulnerable Zones" (2 approaches)
- European Court of Justice Case C-322/00 (2003)
- Any links/common ground between HELCOM results and the above ECJ case?

Sources: HELCOM (2009), ECJ (2003) and ECJ (2004)





That's is about it...

... thank you for your attention

Any tricky questions?