Overview on assessment of climate change impacts on Mekong hydrological regime

> Chu Thai Hoanh - Kittipong Jiraoot & Guillaume Lacombe

> > September 2009

www.iwmi.org



Contents

- BDP and CC scenarios
- GCM and RCM
- BDP-CC scenario analysis framework
- MRC DSF advantages & limitations



Improving water and land resources management for food, livelihoods and nature



A scenario is:

"a coherent, internally consistent and plausible description of a possible future state of the world" (Parry and Carter, 1998)

Not a forecast or a prediction

A series of pictures of what the world could look like in the future

Basin development plan (BDP)







Hydropower

| Scenario | No Project | Installed Capacity (MW) | Active Storage (MCM) |
|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Baseline | 11 | 1,553.2 | 9,638.2 |
| Upper Mekong Dam | 17 | 17,003.2 | 32,871.2 |
| Definite Future | 35 | 21,073.2 | 44,003.9 |
| LMB Mainstream Dam | 45 | 35,152.2 | 48,909.9 |
| LMB Tributary Dam | 70 | 26,728.2 | 71,936.9 |
| LMB 20-year Plan | 80 | 40,807.2 | 76,843.9 |

Irrigation





IPCC scenarios

IPCC basic scenarios:

- A1: Low population growth, very rapid economic growth
- A2: High population growth, slower economic growth
- B1: Low population growth, introduction of clean, resourceefficient technologies
- B2: Moderate population growth and economic development

Additional scenarios:

- A1FI: fossil intensive
- A1T: non-fossil energy resources
- A1B: balance across all sources

Grouping:

Low emission scenarios: B1, A1T Medium emission scenarios: B2, A1B High emission scenarios: A2, A1FI



Climate models: past, present & future



International

Climate change



International



Simulation of sub-grid-scale climate based on output from global climate models

By developing a statistical relationship between local climate variables and model predictors

> STATISTICAL DOWNSCALING

By explicit solving of process-based physical dynamics of the regional climate system

> DYNAMIC DOWNSCALING



- High resolution (20-50 km) over limited area
- Takes account of local characteristics, e.g. mountains, coasts
- Better regional details, better prediction of extremes in weather
- Embedded in global model, so subject to same uncertainties (using many GCMs vs one RCM?)



Figure 3.6. Baseline (1951-2000) versus future (2030) monthly mean precipitation.



PRECIS dynamic downscaling



PRECIS boundaries: atmospheric winds, temperatures and humidity outputed from a GCM.



Scenario analysis framework





A model run scenario:

- With CC vs Without CC (which dataset?)
- 1985-2000 vs 2010-2050 (which period?)
- Development vs Baseline (which BDP?)
- With adaptation vs without adaptation (which action?)



This modeling study is only focusing on changing of flow regime









955 sub-basins covering the whole Mekong basin

Hydrological Model (SWAT)

34





Outputs of SWAT/IQQM Model







Replace observed data with CC projected data:

Option 1: Replace observed data at stations by CC projected data at corresponding cells (GCM).

Option 2: Replace observed data of sub-basins (processed by MQUAD) by CC projected data of corresponding sub-basins (RCM).





ECHAM4 A2



Change (%) of mean annual rainfall during 2010-50 relative to 1985-2000

ECHAM4 B2







Change (°C) of mean annual daily average temperature during 2010-50 relative to 1985-2000

ECHAM4 A2

ECHAM4 B2

Outputs from DSF





Advantages in using DSF

Models can simulate interventions:

- Land use/ land coverage changes
- Climate change & sea level rise
- Water supply demands
- Aquaculture development
- Irrigation abstractions
- Changes in crop patterns
- Changes in reservoir operation

- New dams & reservoirs in LMB
- China dam cascade
- In-stream regulation structures
- Inter-basin diversion
- River improvement structures
- Flood control in floodplain & tributaries
- Salinity control (sluices, dike)

Spatial: detailed sub-basins / nodes Temporal: daily/hourly time steps



Limitations & difficulties



Difficulties

- Available observed climate data
- Other data needs (land and water use...)
- Only focus on water, not other outputs as production (crop, electricity...)
- High standard models for specialists

- Large input & output datasets (20 GB for SWAT & IQQM, 400 GB for ISIS)
- ISIS run is slow and difficult in debugging
- Long time for rerun and analysis with corrected/updated input data
- Too many outputs for analysis and reporting
- Refinement of DSF models (IQQM, ISIS)



Comparison of model run scenarios

Model run scenarios

- S1: Baseline BDP + observed climate 1985-2000
- S2: Baseline BDP + adjusted RCM data 1985-2000
- S3: Development BDP + adjusted RCM data 1985-2000
- S4: Baseline BDP + adjusted RCM A2/B2 2010-2050
- S5: Development BDP + adjusted RCM A2/B2 2010-2050
- S6: Development BDP + adjusted RCM A2/B2 2010-2050 + adaptation strategies (?)

Comparison

- S2 S1: justify adjustement of RCM can be applied
- S3 S2: impacts of development BDP compared with baseline BDP without CC
- S4 S2: impacts of CC if baseline BDP is continued under CC
- S5 S4: impacts of development BDP compared with baseline BDP under CC
- S6 S5: effects of adaptation strategies on development BDP under CC (?)

Results: next presentation by Dr. Kittipong Jiraoot



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

