

Outline

Why IBFM is important?

IBFM Study&Holistic Flow Management How the study is carried out?

How does this support basin planning?

How the information on cost and benefit of water resource will be aiding trade-off discussion and decision making process on water resources development in the LMB?

IWRM context of the LMB







Integrated Basin Flow Management

IBFM is a set of multidisciplinary activities providing information and knowledge to decision makers on **cost** and **economic benefits** of water resource development in the LMB including environmental and social impacts of development as related to changes in the flow regime (MRC Strategic Plan 2006-2010)



Place an emphasis on social- resource economic study

1. People's livelihood in LMB relies heavily on ecosystem "Goods and Services"

Why IBFM in the LMB?

2. Poverty alleviation is a prime agenda SD= key mechanism. WRD= key mechanism to Support SD

3. If WRD is going ahead anyway, there is a need to ensure that all parts of river goods and services (that people have relied on) are taken into account.

Why IBFM is important?

 To take account the importance of ecosystem and people's livelihood into basin planning of LMB
 Valuate ecosystem goods and services, base on society choice and place them on decision making table
 What is the impact of flow change?
 Livelihood implications





IBFM 1 2 3



- IBFM 1: hydrological assessment of LMB
- IBFM 2: introduced a holistic approach to flow management, demonstrate outcome
- IBFM 3: 3 years programme, develop approach& tool that allow prediction to be made

IBFM: Mekong Method to Integrated Flow Assessment



- Develop and assess three development scenarios (HEP/IRR)
- Undertake holistic flow assessment
 - River hydrology, hydraulic, vegetation, fisheries, wetland products
- Undertake studies in 6 zones, collate info related to hydrology, hydraulics, vegetation, fisheries, river bank, water use, resource use
- Expert's opinion, severity of change from baseline





6 Zones with Representative Sites

What is the impact of flow changes (caused by scenarios) on the river?

Indicators established 10 from each discipline

Predict the change



Flow Regime 1: 2.6 times increase in HEP production (to about 18,000 GWh/yr) 14% increase in irrigated area (to about 8.2m ha);
Flow Regime 2: 2.6 times increase in HEP production/ 40% increase in irrigated area (to about 10m ha)
Flow Regime 3: 4.5 times increase in HEP production (to about 31,00010 GWh/yr) 40% increase in irrigated area (to about 10m ha)



1999 3

IBFM Predictive Tool: Data Flow

DA SUSANALE DE RO

STEP 1

Scenario description (Ex countries/BDP)

STEP 2

STEP 3

Physical/chemical changes predicted

New flow regime simulated

STEP 4

STEP 5

Biological module uses these values to predict change in living parts of ecosystem

Socio-economic module predicts impacts of river change



Required features of predictive tool



- Can respond to any water-management scenario query from the member states
- Can be updated as knowledge increases
- Can produce flow response relationship (linked ecological, social and resourceeconomic predictions of flow-related change)
- Can be queried in several different ways:
 - What social and ecological impacts would a proposed water management activity have
 - What flows are needed to maintain a certain level of river resources



Not only impacts are looked at.. Benefits of WRD are quantified..

Economic Assessment

- Economic valuation is taken place
- Hydropower, Irrigation, Wetland and Fishery
- Place value on resource, choice made by stakeholders
- Need more realistic value





Stakeholder Consultation BENEFITS of WRD IMPACTS

- Share the result of the study with stakeholders
- Improve the result together
- Refine value together
- Obtain livelihood knowledge from them, inclusion of local knowledge
- Enhance their roles in trade-off discussion



Trade-off discussionBENEFITS of WRDIMPACTS

- Trade off between what? Can we have both?
- Cost-Benefit analysis of each WRD scenario is conducted
- Economic analysis of each WRD scenarios is conducted
- Cost and benefit of WRD including impacts in the LMB are fed into decision making process

We realize that all of this is complex too complex, not good? Good?

- Transformation of scientific info to user-friendly language
- Result should be widely discussed, to refine value, better understand vulnerability context of livelihood
- Need more realistic scenarios, value
- Engage stakeholders in the study
- Who are stakeholders here?
- People who use the resources know the best, which way they will be engaged? Any channel?

Just one layer of stakeholder consultation what about others?

- Scenarios formulation
- Trade-off discussion, trade-off between what aspects?
- Degree of dependency on river resources-weight
- Maintaining ecosystem goods and services while promoting WRD development... what is the best way to achieve? Constraints?







