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## Note to the reader

This series of technical notes is prepared to serve facilitation and discussion on the assessment of basin-wide development scenarios of the Mekong Basin by stakeholders in the basin countries. The assessment process is continuing and feedback on the initial findings is requested.
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## 1

 Social impactsAn initial assessment of social impacts in terms of the number of people exposed to changes in the river water resources and connected wetlands, and the number of people who are dependent on these resources for their livelihoods has been done for Cambodia and Lao PDR. The other aspects of the social assessment: exposed people's sensitivity to changes and their resilience, or available coping strategies, have not yet been addressed. Updated social statistics for Vietnam and Thailand have not been received yet. The work is ongoing following the methodology outlined in the social assessment methodology paper.

The initial overall findings for Cambodia and Lao PDR are presented in below table.

| Specific development objective | Indicator | Unit | Country | Definite <br> Future | 20 <br> Year <br> with <br> MD | 20 <br> Year <br> w/o <br> MD | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ \text { Year } \\ \text { w/o } \\ \text { LMD } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 Maintain livelihoods of vulnerable resource-users | No. of people affected | 000 <br> people |  | 80 | 1000 | 12 |  |
| Issue: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health, food and income security | Severity of impact on health, food and income security | Trend | Cambodia | - | ----- | --- |  |
| 3.1 Maintain livelihoods of vulnerable resource-users | No. of people affected | 000 <br> people |  | 250 | 900 | 550 | 750 |
| Issue: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health, food and income security | Severity of impact on health, food, income security | Trend | Lao PDR | --- | --- | --- | --- |

## Cambodia

The main data sources that have been used for the social assessment are:

- Cambodia Census 2008 (obtained from NIS in December 2009)
- Commune Database 2007 from NCDD Program (obtained in September 2009)
- Commune Poverty Rate 2007 (obtained from WFP in September 2009)
- Statistical Yearbook of Cambodia 2008 (obtained from Economic Planning Department in 2009)


## Definite Future

The number of vulnerable water resource users who are dependent on water resources for their livelihoods - mainly fishing - and who are exposed to changes in the Definite Future are assessed to be 80,000 people. The Definite Future scenario only impacts Cambodia through loss of fisheries from upstream dams, and a small number of people in a Krong Ban Leung district where a dam will be constructed. The loss of fisheries is initially assessed by the Fisheries Program to be 8 percent of current river fish production. Based on analysis of the Census data, described below, it is assessed that 1 million people are dependent on river fisheries in Cambodia. At this stage of the analysis the 8 percent loss in fisheries production is simply assumed to affect 8 percent of the fisheries dependent population, i.e., 80,000 people.

## Scenario 20 Year with all mainstream dams

This scenario includes the Sambour Dam, Stung Treng Dam, Lower Se San 2 and Lower Srepok 2 Dam, and the Ou Chum Dam. The Don Sahong dam in Lao PDR almost on the border to Cambodia will also have an effect downstream in Cambodia. The Districts exposed to changes in the river system due to these dams have been selected as the Districts on both sides of the mainstream and around the Tonle Sap. They have grouped into first, second and third order impacted Districts, for a later refinement of the analysis. The Districts and the grouping is shown in the map.


The Districts between Don Sahong and Sambor dams are considered impacted of the first order since they will be between two dams blocking all fish migration into and out of that stretch of
river. The Districts adjacent to the river below Sambor and to the border to Vietnam are considered second order impacted since most of the water comes through the Sambor dam, but there are large floodplains which can provide habitats for fish and the area is still linked to the Tonle Sap system. Tonle Sap itself is considered third order impacted. However, it is not known exactly how the dams in the 20 Year scenario will affect the fish and aquatic animals. The preliminary fisheries assessment estimates that 265,349 tons of fish production will be lost in Cambodia's connected wetlands in Scenario 20 Year with mainstream dams, which is considered a severe negative impact.

| Cambodia | First order <br> impacted <br> districts | Second order <br> impacted <br> districts | Tonle Sap shore <br> $\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}$ order impacted <br> districts | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nos Districts in LMB | 10 | 27 | 20 | 57 |
| Total Population Census 08 | 285,876 | $2,504,509$ | $1,675,117$ | $4,465,502$ |
| Rural Population | 220,504 | $2,342,970$ | $1,635,234$ | $4,198,708$ |
| Nos HHs - total | 76,309 | 568,042 | 348,699 | 993,050 |
| Nos HHs fishing main occupation | 1,298 | 13,087 | 15,443 | 29,828 |
| Male Fisheries Employment | 659 | 11,903 | 20,603 | 33,165 |
| Female Fisheries Employment | 307 | 6,418 | 14,447 | 21,172 |
| Nos HHs participating in fishing | 14,858 | 11,024 | 31,657 | 57,539 |
| community | 10,783 | 60,853 | 53,662 | 125,298 |
| Nos rowing boats used for fishing | 4,297 | 18,508 | 19,554 | 42,359 |
| Nos motorboats used for fishing |  |  |  |  |


| Vulnerable <br> population | HHs | High <br> (total) <br> Nos. <br> people | Low <br> value | Low <br> Nos. people | Middle <br> value | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$|$| Fishing Main |
| :--- |
| Occupation |


| Overall preliminary assessment | $1,000,000$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Assessment: severity of impacts: severe: | $--\ldots$ |  |

The vulnerable population is defined as households (HHs) with fishing as a main occupation, with a workforce of males and females employed in fisheries, with HHs who participate in the fishing community and HHs who own a rowing boat for fishing (used as a proxy for subsistence fisheries in water bodies that are linked to river system). Adding all people in households with fishing as main occupation, households participating in the fishing community and households owning a rowing boat used for fishing, an total high estimate of $1,000,000$ people engaged in fishing activities is arrived at. Assuming some overlap between households with fishing as main occupation and households participating in the fishing community and thus reducing the latter to $50 \%$, and further assuming only $50 \%$ of the people in households owning a rowing boat used for fishing are dependent on fishing, a conservative estimate of 600,000 people engaged in fishing activities is arrived at. The middle value between the high and low estimates of 800,000 people is then used. To this is added an estimated 200,000 people (around 45-50,000 households) that are in other occupations and livelihoods that are dependent on fisheries. The total is thus vulnerable 1,000,000 people.

Further analysis will be made on the number of people involved in occupations that are dependent on the inland fisheries in Cambodia.

The severity of the social impacts through the impacts on the fisheries is dependent on what those impacts will be. For example, there is a big difference between a $20 \%$ reduction and a $50 \%$ reduction in the fish catches in Tonle Sap. The range in possible impacts on fisheries gives a range in impacts on livelihoods. It is however likely that the large-scale and medium scale fisheries would be hardest hit, whereas subsistence fisheries could increase effort and/or fish in alternative habitats to the main river system.

## Scenario 20 Year without mainstream dams

In this scenario only two dams, both located in Sesan District, are in included, and the social impacts are assessed to be relatively limited. The resettlement of 4,700 people is an issue, however, it is - optimistically - assumed that adequate social safe guards would be implemented.

| Sesan District | HHs | Nos people | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nos HHs participating in fishing <br> community | 277 | 1,523 | Average HH size 5.5 |
| Nos of rowing boats used for fishing | 1080 | 5,940 | Assuming 1 boat per HH |
| To be resettled |  | 4,700 |  |
| Sub-total |  | 12,163 |  |


| Rounded nos. of vulnerable affected <br> people |  | 12,000 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Lao PDR

A preliminary social assessment of impacts in Lao PDR has been made using the Census 2005 and the LECS4 of 2007/08. The latter survey covers the whole of Lao PDR with a sample of more than 8,000 households. It includes information on the involvement in fisheries.

The LECS4 data shows that the population in Lao PDR overwhelmingly is engaged in capture fisheries with $74 \%$ of all households in the country having fished in the previous last 12 months. Of these 78\% catch fish in rivers. This compares to 19\% of all households that catch fish in rice fields.

LECS4 200718 Data on fisheries

| Variables | Nos HHs | Percent of <br> total | Percent of <br> fishing HHs |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Nos of HHs engaged in fishing | 6,338 | $77 \%$ |  |
| Pond fish culture | 1,210 | $15 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Cultivated rice field fish culture | 398 | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Cage fish culture | 177 | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Integrated pond fish culture | 131 | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Community fish culture | 88 | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Fish seed culture | 26 | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Capture fishing last 12 months | 6,096 | $74 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| River capture fishing | 4,914 | $60 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| Lake reservoir fishing | 2,733 | $33 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| Swamps, floodplains fishing | 1,566 | $19 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Rice field fishing | 1,529 | $19 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Sample nos of households - nationwide | 8248 |  |  |

It should be noted that the importance of capture fisheries varies between households. However, the LECS4 also reports on households’ capture fisheries in the last 24 hours (before the time of interview), and this shows that $21 \%$ of households had been fishing during that limited period of time, spending on average 2.8 hours on this activity (refer to graph and statistical report below). This indicates a general high importance of capture fisheries for subsistence and food security.


With the planned number of dams in Lao PDR, the natural fish yield in the dammed rivers is expected to decline dramatically, which will affect a large part of the population using these rivers.

The social assessment uses the same approach as for Cambodia by identifying the Districts, which will be exposed to the changes in the river flows in each of the scenarios, and thereby assess how many people will be affected. Simple selection criteria based on upstream and/or down-stream location from dams have been applied. The following maps show the GIS based analysis.



The number of vulnerable resource users in the various scenarios has then been calculated as shown in below table. A 'high' figure has been obtained by including both households that have fished in rivers and floodplains/swamps, and a 'low' figure by including only river fishing households; the middle value of these two figures has then been used.

|  |  | HIGH: Total <br> Population of <br> river and <br> swamp, <br> floodplain <br> fishing HHs <br> value <br> reported to <br> main table | LOW: Total <br> population river <br> fishing only |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Dcenario |  |  |  |
| Rounded | 250,000 | 300,000 | 200,000 |


| 20 Year Scenario all MS dams |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 Y tributary districts up-downstream of <br> dams |  | 643,526 | 544,616 |
| Mainstream districts affected by dams |  | 322,760 | 247,389 |
| Sub-total 20Y scenario |  | 966,286 | 792,005 |
| Rounded | $\mathbf{9 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| 20 Year Scenario without M/S dams |  |  |  |
| 20 Y tributary districts up-downstream of <br> dams |  | 643,526 | 544,616 |
| Rounded | $\mathbf{5 5 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | 500,000 |
| 20 Year Scenario without LMB mainstream dams |  |  |  |
| 20 Y tributary districts up-downstream of <br> dams |  | 643,526 | 544,616 |
| Mainstream districts affected by dams |  | 322,760 | 247,389 |
| Subtract districts affected by mainstream dams in the lower <br> part | $-119,782$ | $-92,337$ |  |
| Total scenario | $\mathbf{8 4 6 , 5 0 4}$ | 699,668 |  |
| Rounded | $\mathbf{8 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

