Working Group Discussion – PIFACC Review

- 1. A mid-term review should be undertaken to assess the relevance and implementation of the PIFACC
 - Mid-term review should consider the relevance of the PIFACC
 - Communication of key issues at the national, sub-national and community levels. Communities often remain unaware of climate change issues and how mechanisms such as the PIFACC relate to them and implications for their dayto-day lives.
 - ➤ Education/training aspects of the PIFACC should be a focus
 - > PIFACC may need to be simplified
 - > Reporting and administrative requirements
 - Mid-term review should consider any gaps in the PIFACC
 - > Ecosystem based approaches
 - ➤ Links with DRM
 - > Community based approaches
- 2. Review of the DRM framework is due and these two reviews should be well coordinated and, where possible, joint planning and implementation processes should be undertaken.
- 3. SPREP to draft a concept note on the scope of the mid-term review
 - Terms of reference
 - Management structure (including consideration of the independence of the review team)
 - Indicators to measure performance
 - Need and membership of a steering committee to oversee the review (including consideration of community representation)
 - Financing
 - Links with DRM review (as per Recommendation 2)
 - Involvement of countries, communities and other stakeholders in the review process.